

Multi-Institutional Results of Breast Proton Radiation Therapy: An Analysis of the Pcg Registry

Daniel Brunnhoelzl, BS¹; Gary Larson, MD²; Henry Tsai, MD³; Carl Rossi, MD⁴; Lane Rosen, MD⁵; William Hartsell, MD⁶; Christine Fang MD⁷; Elizabeth Nichols, MD⁸; Carlos Vargas, MD⁹

¹Creighton University School of Medicine – PRC; ²Procure Proton Therapy Center OKC; ³Procure Proton Therapy Center NJ; ⁴Scripps Proton Therapy Center; ⁵Willis-Knighton Cancer Center; ⁶Northwestern Medicine Chicago Proton Center; ⁷University of Washington Medical Center – Radiation Oncology Center; ⁸University of Maryland Medical Center; ⁹Mayo Clinic Scottsdale

Purpose

This study aimed to determine disease-specific outcomes and toxicities associated with proton therapy for breast cancer treatment.

Methods

Records of 335 breast cancer patients with localized disease treated with proton radiotherapy (RT) in a multi-institutional prospective registry between 2011 and 2016 were analyzed. Late toxicity is (CTCAE V4.0) any event beginning or persisting for 6 months or longer from the start of radiotherapy and acute Adverse Events (AE) before that. Cancer events were measured from the completion of RT.

Results

Median follow-up was 1.1 years (0.2-3.7 years) and 73% for longer than 6 months. Nodal stage was pN1-3 in 68%. Re-irradiation was delivered to 52 (15.5%), chest wall RT 135 (40.3%), whole breast 108 (32.3%), and partial breast RT 40 (11.9%). Loco-regional failures were seen in 2.4%, distant failures in 6.9%, breast cancer deaths in 10 (3.0%), and deaths in 15 (4.5%). AEs \geq G3 or \geq G2 were different between retreatment (9, 17.3%; 43, 82.7%) and de novo (20, 7.1%; 191, 67.5%), p=0.028 and p=0.032. Only one chronic G3 AE was seen. Retreatment was associated with similar loco-regional failure rates 3 (5.8%) vs 5 (1.8%), p=0.11. However, distant failures (7 and 13.5% vs 16 and 5.7%; p=0.06), breast cancer deaths (4 and 7.7% vs 6 and 2.1%; p=0.05), and overall deaths (6 and 11.5% vs 9 and 3.2%; p=0.017), were higher. Volume treated was a predictor of G2 or G3 AEs; AEs ≥G3 or ≥G2 were different between patients treated to the regional lymph nodes (20, 10.7%; 165, 88.2%) vs local RT (0, 0.0%; 25, 26%), p=0.0003 and p= 0.0001. A relationship between dose and AEs was found; for \geq G3 the dose threshold was 61.3 Gy (6 and 17.5% vs 14 and 5.6%; p=0.02) and for \geq G2 it was 45.5 Gy, (17 and 50.0% vs 173 69.4%; p=0.0319).

Table A

Toxicity (≥G2) outcomes for patients treated with proton therapy for localized breast cancer

proton	therapy for to	canzea b		
	≥ G2	G0-G1	Total	Fisher's exact test
Retreatment	43 (82.7%)	9	52	
No retreatment	191 (67.5%)	92	283	
	234 (69.9%)	99	335	P <0.05
APBI	6 (15.0%)	34	40	
WBRT or CWRT	183 (75.3%)	60	243	
	189 (66.8%)	94	283	P < 0.01
WBRT	69 (63.8%)	39	108	
CWRT	116 (85.9%)	19	135	
	185 (76.1%)	58	243	P < 0.01
PBS	36 (72%)	14	50	
Passive scatter	155 (63.8%)	88	243	
	191 (67.5%)	102	293	P =0.328
LN	165 (88.2%)	22	187	
No LN	25 (26.0%)	71	96	
	190 (67.1%)	93	283	P < 0.01
>=45.5 Gy	17 (50.0%)	17	34	
<45.5 Gy	173 (69.4%)	76	249	
	190 (67.1%)	93	283	P< 0.05

Table B

Toxicity (G3) outcomes for patients treated with proton therapy for localized breast cancer

•	therapy for to			
	G3	G0-G2	Total	Fisher's exact test
Retreatment	9 (17.3%)	43	52	
No retreatment	20 (7.1%)	263	283	
	29 (8.7%)	306	335	P < 0.05
APBI	0 (0.0%)	40	40	
WBRT or CWRT	20 (8.2%)	223	243	
	20 (7.1%)	263	283	P = 0.08
PBS	3 (7.5%)	37	40	
Passive scatter	17 (7.0%)	226	243	
	20 (7.1%)	263	283	P = 0.99
LN	20 (10.7%)	167	187	
No LN	0 (0.0%)	96	96	
	20 (7.1%)	263	283	P < 0.01
>=61.34Gy	6 (17.6%)	28	34	
<61.34Gy	14 (5.6%)	235	249	
	20 (7.1%)	263	283	P =0.02

Table C

Treatment failure and death outcomes for patients treated with proton therapy for localized breast cancer

ticated with p	логон тнегару	ioi iocai	nzeu bie	ast carice
Local failures	Failure	No Failure	Total	Fisher's exact test
Retreatment	3 (5.8%)	49	52	
No retreatment	5 (1.8%)	278	283	
	8 (2.4%)	327	335	P =0.11
Distant failures	Failure	No Failure		
Retreatment	7 (13.5%)	45	52	
No retreatment	16 (5.7%)	267	283	
	23 (6.9%)	312	335	P =0.06
Breast Ca Deaths	Death	No death		
Retreatment	4 (7.7%)	48	52	
No retreatment	6 (2.1%)	277	283	
	10 (3.0%)	325	335	P =0.05
All Deaths	Death	No death		
Retreatment	6 (11.5%)	46	52	
No retreatment	9 (3.2%)	274	283	
	15 (4.5%)	320	335	P =0.02
	20 (7.1%)	263	283	P =0.02

Conclusion

Proton beam radiation was associated with low chronic G3 AEs including re-treatment cases. Volume and dose thresholds were found.